## Plaintiff Jackie Fisher's

Response in Opposition to Defendants'

## Motion for Summary Judgment

EXHIBIT
12

## THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICAL BRANCH AT GALVESTON UTMB MANAGED CARE

GRIEVANCE APPEAL

RECEIVED
APR 10 2006

FROM: TACKIE FISHER  (Employee Name/Complainant)  Medical - Estelle RMF  (Department and Facility)	(Job Titte)
The following grievance/appeal is submitted in accordance Managed Care Discipline and Dismissal, Grievance or Appe	with The University of Texas Medical Branch eal Policy:
FOR GRIEVANCE ONLY	FOR APPEAL ONLY
Reason for Grievance (Include UTMB Managed Care Policy violated, if any):  HOSTIL WILL Environent	Action being appealed:  Dismissed Suspension without pay
Date occurred: In - 90109	Date occurred:
Employee's Statement (Additional pages may be attached,	
See attachment, payls	
See attachment, payls  Desired Regults:  A conducive Work environment  MAYALSSMENT:  May Employee's Signature	- that does not promote 4-10-2006 Date
See attachment, payls  Desired Regults:  A conducive Work environment  Marassment.  Laulae Fisher	+ + + + does not promote  4-10-2006  Date  Four local UTMB Managed Care Human Resources
Desired Results:  We conquire Work Invivoment  And Sment:  Employee's Signature  Return the completed form and supporting information to y  Office.  FOR USE BY HUMAN R	+ Hat does not promote  4-10-2006  Date  Four local UTMB Managed Care Human Resources  ESOURCES ONLY
Desired Results:    CONGLEVE   WITH INVITATION     CONGLEVE   WITH INVITATION     CONGLEVE   WITH INVITATION     CONGLEVE   WITH INVITATION     CONGLEVE   Signature     Employee's Signature     Return the completed form and supporting information to y   Office.    FOR USE BY HUMAN R   Congleve   Congleve     Congleve   Congression     Congleve   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congression   Congression   Congression   Congression     Congression   Congress	Hat does not promote  4-10-2006 Date  FOUR local UTMB Managed Care Human Resources  ESOURCES ONLY  Grievance No. 0(0-04-105) G-
Desired Results:    CONAUCIVE   WIRK INVIVOMENT   MAY I SIMULATE   Employee's Signature   Return the completed form and supporting information to y Office.    FOR USE BY HUMAN R   Human Resources Representative   MANAGEMENT CONTACT:   Verbal Presentation:   Date   Level 1   Hold	Hat does not promote  4-10-2006  Date  FOUR local UTMB Managed Care Human Resources  ESOURCES ONLY  Grievance No. 0(0-01-100) 6-
Desired Results:  W. CONAUCIVE   WIRK INVIVOMENT  MAY SMENT.  Employee's Signature  Return the completed form and supporting information to y  Office.  FOR USE BY HUMAN R  Human Resources Representative  MANAGEMENT CONTACT:  Verbal Presentation:  Date  Level 1 4 10 06 4 17 06 * 46	That does not promote  4-10-2006  Date  FOUR local UTMB Managed Care Human Resources  ESOURCES ONLY  Grievance No. 0(0-04-105) 6-  Resolution  Resolution  Resolution

April 10, 2006

To: Sandy Rader HV Cluster HR Administrator Huntsville, Tx. 77340

Ref: Hostile Working Environment

An email was sent on 1/9/06 from Mr. Watson, District NM, to Estelle's RMF, Building, High Security and SAFP nursing staffs informing the staff Ms. Gotcher, D.O.N,. Northern Region, would be at the Estelle's RMF on 1/17/06 and 1/18/06. He encouraged all staff to come by to share opinions, concerns and ideals.

On 1/17/06 and 1/18/06, Ms. Gotcher and Ms. Melton, HR Administrator of the Northern Region, conducted interviews among the RMF staff. Some staff stated they felt attacked in their efforts to support my administration. The Estelle RMF, High Security and SAFP personnel are under my supervision, while Ms. Bond, RN, Facility NM, supervises the Estelle Building staff. It should be noted that the nurses at the other locations- Estelle High Security, SAFP and the Estelle Building were not interviwed. It is my opinion, the meeting was requested by Mr. Watson and intentionally set up to provide disgruntled employees the opportunity to personal attack me. It is alleged that Mr. Watson encoraged Mr. Aguilar, ANM to ensure participation of a certain group of the RMF staff.

Ms. Gotcher and Ms. Melton met with me on 2/10/06 to report their findings of the alleged problem areas from the above stated meetings. Rebuttals and comments were made on my behalf. No factual evidence was presented. Most of the allegations were stated as perceptions on the behalf of the employees. According to Ms. Gotcher, the meeting was called due to the large turnover, high vacancy rates and staff complaints under my supervision since the 8/05 administrative reassignment of nurse managers. My vacancy rates were not any higher than the other Nurse Managers in the Huntsville Cluster. According to the facility monitoring reports, in comparsion, my vacancy rates were lower in some months.

There are disgruntled employees with racial allegations and supervisory complaints on most units throughout the Huntsville Cluster in which Mr. Watson is very aware. He has personally received staff complaints via emails from other units. To make mention, since 2/06, three licensed staff on the Wynne Unit have requested transfer to the RMF due to dissatisfaction. These complaints have gone without obvious attention from the District level.

I have documentation that a Nurse from the Ellis Unit sent as an email to Mr. Watson alleging that her work environment was bad and that it was hard for her to talk to her Nurse Manager and requested to met with Mr. Watson. In response, Mr. Watson replied "I will speak with you only after you have met with and discussed your issues with the ANM and/or NM. If that fails to resolve the problem then I will be happy to meet with you. There are proper ways to address things through your chain of command". It should be noted, that this rule is not being enforced for the staff under my administration. My

staff are encouraged to circumvent the chain of command by being summoned and allowed to go directly to Mr. Watson.

I requested a meeting with Ms. Gotcher and Ms. Melton to discuss my concerns in regards to Mr. Watson. Initially it was scheduled for 2/21/06 but rescheduled for 3/7/06. I voiced my belief that this was an act of retaliation and that that he had intentionally set me up for failure. His areas of concerns that was reported to Ms. Gotcher had never been communicated to me. In my opinion, those problems and/or concerns should have been shared with me first to resolve or address at the lowest level. At that time, I also reported that Mr. Watson was creating a very uncomfortable work environment. During this meeting, Ms. Melton concluded that Mr. Watson and I needed mediation and stated arrangements would be made.

Ms. Gotcher returned to meet with the RMF staff for a follow up visit on 3/8/06. Those present were Mr. Watson, Ms. Rader, staff on duty, and I. She gave specific expectations for the staff and me. She also encouraged everyone to work together, follow their chain of command and to open the line of communications.

In a later discussion on 3/13/06 with Mr. Watson and Ms. Box, Huntsville Cluster PM, I made it known again that I felt a lack of trust and support from Mr. Watson. Mr. Watson freely acknowledged that he has a problem communicating with me. He has never disclosed a reason for not being able to communicate with me. My efforts to communicate with him remains open and respectful in an attempt to conduct professional business.

Despite all the meetings and discussions, Mr. Watson continues to allow staff to circumvent my authority, he continues to solicits information concerning my work performance from my assistant NMS and other staff members. His involvement has caused a great lack of cohesiveness on the unit level. Mr. Watson has made it known that staff does not voluntarily come to him, he calls for them. The solicitation of staff has a tendency to make the employees feel obligated to complain and they could feel at a disadvantage not to comply with his requests. Mr. Aguilar, ANM has voiced concern about Mr. Watson's behavior to me and he questioned his decision to trust Mr. Watson to another member of the management team. Ms. MacCartney, ANM has commented to me on several occassions that she feels put in between her supervisor (me) and my supervisor (Mr. Watson). Mr. Watson's behavior causes a strain our relationship. It should be noted, his solicitation of staff is of the Caucasian race only. Diversity would be a better representation and provide a greater appreciation of the staff as a whole.

There still continues to be a lack of empowerment and support from Mr. Watson to manage the unit. He has shown a lack of consistency in allowing me to provide discipline for similar occurrences. Disciplinaries written by me for policy violations (inaccurate sharp counts) and practice act issues (MH) have been denied. On my semi-annual evaluation that was due in 12/05 and presented to me on 3/13/06, Mr. Watson stated he have little doubt that my final judegement calls tend to be correct but in an email I recieved from him on 2/15/06 he instructed me to discuss and get input from my subordinates before deciding to pursue disciplinary, and if I failed to do so, my decision

would be remissed.

Prior to my approved leave, I sent an email on 3/27/06 and copied Mr. Watson summarizing the staffing issues and my attempt and plan to staff unmanned shifts when I returned on 4/11/06. During my absence, Mr. Watson requested a meeting with Mr. Aguilar and Ms MacCartney around or on 3/30/06. Mr. Aguilar was unavailable, but the meeting was conducted with Ms. MacCartney. Inspite of the email that I had previously sent addressing the staff numbers for the entire month of April 06 and my plan to cover the shifts, it was reported that Mr. Watson instructed MacCartney to prepare daily assignments sheets for the entire month of April and report my deficiencies to him. From that information, he requested the use of agency staff to fill all the vacant shifts. Despite my efforts, he shows no support for me and continues to use my subordinates to discredit my authority.

On my semi-annual evaluation, Mr. Watson stated that "there are still some problem areas that require my focused attention. But through discussion with her team she is well aware of those areas and will continue to seek resolutions." I am not aware of any problems that require my focused attention on behalf of the management team. After viewing that comment and discussing it with Mr. Samarneh and Dr. Vincent they both disclaim having any specific knowledge as related. It would be very beneficial if Mr. Watson was able to effectively communicate with me to get the whole story and fully understand the entire situation.

Mr. Watson's personal dislike for me and his continued harassment has created a hostile working environment.

Respectfully submitted,

ackie Fisher, RN, CNM

cc: file